

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/138,378		08/24/1998	SHIGEKI HAMURA	1046.1188/JD	4007		
21171 7590 05/05/2004		05/05/2004		EXAMINER GARCIA, GABRIEL I			
STAAS &	HALSEY	/ LLP					
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2624			
				DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004	· 29		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_							
	_	Application No.		Applicant(s)						
	Office Action Summary	09/138,378		Hamura						
		Examiner		Art Unit						
			arci		2624					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover s	heet wi	th the corres	pondence address	·				
Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE										
Status		,								
1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/17/04									
2a) 🗀	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 🗷 This action is non-final.									
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.									
	tion of Claims									
4) 🔀	Claim(s) $4.5, 9, 10, 15, 19, 20, 24, 25$ and 30 is/are pending in the application.									
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/ar	e withdrawn fror	n consideration.				
	Claim(s) 4,5,9,10,19,20,24,25									
6) 🔀	Claim(s) 1 5	is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌	Claims	a	e subje	ct to restric	ction and/or elect	ion requirement.				
Application Papers										
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10)	D)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	_								
11)	11) $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:										
	1. — Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).										
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)										
_	errits) stice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (	PTO-413) Paper	No(s).					
	stice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of			<del></del>					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:										

Application/Control Number: 09/138,378

Art Unit: 2624

4 3 3

**DETAILED ACTION** 

1. This application has been examined. This office action is in response to the request filed

on for a Continued Examination (RCE) under 35 CFR 132(d) based on parent Application No.

09/138,378 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows. The

supplemental amendment filed on 2/17/04. Claims 4,5,9,10,15,19,20,24,25 and 30 are pending

in this application. This application has been examined. This office action is in response to the

amendment filed 6/30/03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who

has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention

thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Motamed et al. 3.

(5,687,303).

With regard to claim 1, Motamed et al teaches a method of processing print data to be printed

on one page, the print data being received from a host computer and comprising a plurality of

different types of data (see abstract), the method comprising: separating the print data into

separate sets of data according to the different types of data (e.g. being done by the interface 1610

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/138,378

Art Unit: 2624

of fig. 3, the data is separated into different data types); storing each separate set of data in a different storage location (see fig. 3, items 1620,1622,1624,1626), reading each one of the

separate sets of data (see fig. 5); applying a different image process to each one of the read

separate sets of data (see abstract and fig. 33b); and outputting the processed print data on one

page; wherein the image process applied is respectively one of high resolution process (e.g.

halftoning) and/or run length decompression (see fig. 33b).

## Conclusion

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Claims

4,5,9,10,19,20,24,25 and 30 are being allowed over the prior art of record. The prior art of record

such as Motamed et al '303 teaches that it is well known in the art to process data by separating

data into different data types and applying different processes to the data types (see fig. 3), but

the prior art of record fails to teach, or suggest the claimed limitations of (in combination with all

other features in the claims) a printer or controller, wherein the plurality of separate sets of data

stored in the image buffer are obtained by dividing the print data to be printed on one page into a

plurality of bands, each of the bands corresponding to one of the different types of data, and

wherein the form data integration circuit alternately selects the separate set of data read by each

of the video interfaces and outputs the selected set of the data to the output mechanism.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gabriel I. Garcia whose telephone number is (703) 305-8751. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Thursday from 7:30AM-6:00PM.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Any response to this action could be fax to:

(703) 872-9306 (official or unofficial)

Gabriel I. Garcia Primary Examiner April 30, 2004

GABRIEL GARCYA PRIMARY EXAMINER